AN AGREEMENT AMONG THE PARTIES OF THE NORTHERN IRELAND EXECUTIVE

on

PARADES, PROTESTS, AND SELECT COMMEMORATIONS, FLAGS AND EMBLEMS, and CONTENDING WITH THE PAST



_		-		
ln	tro	dп	ctic	m

Northern Ireland has come a long way. From the depths of violence, it has built an impressive, though fragile and incomplete, peace. More than fifteen years have now passed since the signing of the Belfast/Good Friday Agreement on 10 April 1998. In those years Northern Ireland has reached several milestones, including the decommissioning of arms, the St. Andrews Agreement of 2006, and the Agreement at Hillsborough Castle of 2010, which paved the way for policing and justice powers to be devolved.

 Despite these positive steps, we recognise that Northern Ireland has a long distance to travel. The past year has witnessed significant friction over disputed parades and protests and the flying of the Union Flag at Belfast City Hall. We have also seen continued acts of violence committed by those who wish to thwart Northern Ireland's progress toward a shared and peaceful future.

The vital work of the peace process is far from complete. To be sure, political structures are in place and structures of cooperation are established. But outside the official sphere, many continue to await the peace dividend that should be all citizens' due. The division of our society runs through our schools and our neighbourhoods. Efforts envisaged as part of the outworkings of the peace process, such as a Bill of Rights and measures to recognize and facilitate the use of the Irish language, remain unfinished. It is time to proceed toward implementation on these steps.

Meanwhile, despite the admirable efforts of individuals and organisations across the public and non-governmental sectors, many in our communities struggle with physical, material, emotional, and other needs stemming from decades of conflict. This combination of individual events and ongoing trends jeopardizes both the progress we have made to date and our ability to extend it into the future.

Last spring saw the publication of the 'Together: Building a United Community' strategy by the Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister. This was the latest in a series of efforts, such as 'A Shared Future' in 2005 and the Programme for Cohesion, Sharing, and Integration of 2010, setting out the commitment of Northern Ireland's leaders to move the society forward together. This Agreement Among the Parties of the Northern Ireland Executive stems from the work of a panel established pursuant to 'Together: Building a United Community.' As this document stated:

 We recognise that there remain difficult and contentious issues in our society. In order to take forward work on these issues, we will establish an All Party Group which will have an independent Chair from outside the political parties. The All Party Group will consider and make

recommendations on matters including parades and protests; flags, symbols, emblems and related matters; and the Past. The Group will report to the First Minister and deputy First Minister. The Group will establish mechanisms to hear from the various stakeholders across our community as to how best to address these difficult and contentious issues.

1 2

In accordance with this, the Panel of Parties in the Northern Ireland Executive was constituted under a chair, Richard Haass, and a vice chair, Meghan O'Sullivan. The panel included two members from each of the five parties in the Executive, with the addition of the two Junior Ministers.

 In recognition of the overriding need to hear the voices of individuals throughout the society, the panel prioritised from the start engagement with civil society and the public. It established a website with a public submissions channel that attracted over six hundred submissions. During several visits to Northern Ireland, the chair and vice chair held more than one hundred meetings with a broad range of groups, panel members, and officials from across Northern Ireland's government. Panel members, too, conducted their own intensive outreach and engagement in connection with their work on the panel.

It was in this context that the members of the Panel of Parties in the Northern Ireland Executive conducted the negotiations leading to this agreement. We carried out this work in support of the vision, expressed in 'Together: Building a United Community,' of a future based on equality of opportunity, good relations, and reconciliation. Our discussions have been designed to bring forward a set of recommendations that will provide long-term, sustainable solutions that are in the best interests of the society and that will make the peace more resilient going forward. We are committed to contending with the violence of our past and its consequences and to creating a modern, normalised society. We firmly believe that the steps outlined here will help build a united community where the needs of those who have suffered as a result of violence are addressed; where everyone has the right to peacefully celebrate their culture; where the rule of law is upheld; and where public space is shared, open, and accessible to all.

 Although we believe this agreement constitutes a significant step forward, it does not resolve all difficulties around the issues addressed. We could not for the most part reach understanding on initiatives to manage the controversial issue of flags and emblems. Moreover, while we agreed a number of steps to contend with the past, aspects of this effort proved beyond consensus. This document is a contribution but not a solution.

Just as the construction of this agreement required consultation with a variety of stakeholders in constructing this document, it will require the work of many to implement. While it represents a consensus among the five parties, it is not self-enacting. We look forward to doing our part and to working with others in the government of Northern Ireland, the government of the United Kingdom, the government of the Republic of Ireland, individuals and non-governmental organisations across these islands, and individuals and organisations farther afield to give effect to what we have agreed here.

1 2

We recognise that the issues we are addressing are in many ways reflections as much as causes of our society's challenges. To be sure, our difficulties surrounding parades and protests, flags and emblems, and the past fuel some of the deeper problems Northern Ireland faces. But those problems could well be far less daunting, far lighter a weight on our society, were we able to face them with a more united stance. This agreement, by dealing with these vexing problems, will set the stage for further progress on our most profound divisions, which can be healed only through sustained effort over time. As this work goes forward, rigorous equality of treatment and mutual respect must be the governing principle for society in Northern Ireland, not just now but permanently, and irrespective of any future change.

Finally, the initiatives outlined in this agreement will demand a substantial investment of financial and other resources. At a time of continuing economic challenges in the United Kingdom, the Republic of Ireland, and the rest of the world, some may wonder why attention should be given to these issues, potentially at the expense of others.

We are standing at a crossroads in Northern Ireland. This is a remarkable opportunity to make bold choices to address the issues that hold us back from meeting our society's full potential. We firmly believe that it will not come again. Further delay will risk an increase in levels of public disengagement that are already distressingly high. In respect of the past, the passage of time—and the passing of those with information to share and wounds to salve—will also deprive Northern Ireland of the chance to learn as much as possible about its history while there is still time to do so. This loss would compound the social and emotional costs of such prolonged conflict.

Moreover, the day-to-day costs of policing a society still grappling uneasily with questions of identity and place are high and unlikely to diminish without meaningful attention being paid to addressing these underlying concerns. Progress on the issues we face could also promote tourism, investment, commerce, and other economic gains across our society.

- 1 It is our own challenge as the political leaders of Northern Ireland to devote the
- 2 resources necessary to the tasks we have set for ourselves, and for our society, in this
- 3 agreement. This will require us to determine priorities and introduce efficiencies in
- 4 order to take the lead in putting in place what we have agreed. Knowing, however,
- 5 that this agenda will require—and benefit from—outside support, we urge our
- 6 colleagues in the Houses of Parliament and in the European Union, as well as
- 7 Northern Ireland's friends in the private and philanthropic sectors, to weigh the costs
- 8 of concerted, strategic action against the long-term costs of continuing hesitation. We
- 9 hope they will work with us in implementing what is outlined here and in making
- investments in Northern Ireland's future.



Parades, Protests, and Select Commemorations

Introduction

Parading and marching are an important part of the culture of many of Northern Ireland's citizens. The practice of parading, and many of the organisations that lead and participate in it, dates back centuries. This tradition is protected under the rights to freedom of expression and freedom of assembly and association guaranteed by Articles 10 and 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

We recognise that there are diverse parading and marching traditions and practices in Northern Ireland, ranging from the longstanding parades held by many organisations to individual events held to express political views, mark civic occasions, celebrate victories by sporting teams, and for many other purposes. We consider it essential to protect the right to hold peaceful parades and assemblies, as well as peaceful protests against such events.

The freedoms of expression, assembly, and association must be exercised with respect and sensitivity for the rights and freedoms of others and for the broader interests of society. We recognise, as stated in the Agreement at Hillsborough Castle of 2010, 'that at times there are competing rights.' These include the 'right to freedom from sectarian harassment' enshrined in the 1998 Belfast/Good Friday Agreement, reaffirmed as the 'right for everyone to be free from sectarian harassment' in the Hillsborough Agreement. There are also additional interests at stake, including public order, commerce, the expenditure of public funds, access for all to public spaces and facilities, and a shared future free from intimidation. We recognise equally the many other rights that may be engaged in parading and related disputes, as enumerated for example in 'Parades and Protests in Northern Ireland,' published by the Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission in November 2013.

In this spirit, we call on all individuals and civic organisations across Northern Ireland that organise and attend parades or protests, whether regularly or infrequently, to exercise their fundamental rights without infringing on the fundamental rights and interests of communities and individuals that do not share the traditions or views being expressed, or that do not wish, for whatever reason, to participate. Moreover, parading and protesting must be conducted in full and scrupulous adherence to the rule of law. It must be recognised that allowing individuals to violate the law in the practice of parading or protesting is corrosive to the rule of law and public order.

It is our expectation that the vast majority of parades will continue to occur without contention or violence. We recognise, as the Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission has explained, that 'where the state proposes to interfere with a parade or

protest it must identify a lawful basis for the interference.' We adopt this agreement with the aim of prioritising local solutions; efficiently facilitating the peaceful exercise of the freedoms of expression, assembly, and association; and normalising the overwhelming majority of events, which occur without difficulty. Equally, we aim to ensure that the few remaining contentious events that exist are resolved fairly, transparently, and in a manner that respects the rights of all.

New arrangements to manage parades, protests, and select commemorations

Recent years have seen the vesting of greater responsibility for governance in Northern Ireland's devolved institutions. Given the salience of parades, protests, and other events for many in Northern Ireland's unique culture, the management of this area of life should move into the devolved sphere. Accordingly, we agree to establish via legislation in the Northern Ireland Assembly a new set of arrangements for this purpose. In preparation for this step, we agree to request the Secretary of State for Northern Ireland to take the necessary actions to devolve authorities and responsibilities for parades, protests, and events to the new institutions called for in this agreement.

The Political and Cultural Expression Facilitation Office

A new institution to be established is the Political and Cultural Expression Facilitation Office ('the Office'). The Office shall serve a strictly administrative function intended to efficiently facilitate the vast majority of parades and other events that are non-contentious and to promote the resolution of any related disputes through community dialogue or mediation.

The Office shall be constituted as a non-departmental public body. Its director shall be appointed jointly, through consensus, by the Minister of Justice and the Minister of Culture, Arts, and Leisure, in consultation with the First Minister and the Deputy First Minister, in a public appointments process consistent with the Code of Practice of the Commissioner for Public Appointments in Northern Ireland. Outside the appointment of the director, none of these ministers shall have any role in the operations of the Office.

The Office shall be an administrative, non-political, and non-judicial body with authority for accepting event notifications, maintaining registrations of relevant organisations, facilitating community dialogue, and referring parties to outside mediators, as described below. It shall have no authority to make adjudications.

The Office shall also have responsibility for accepting applications for the display of flags and emblems in public space and issuing permits according to relevant law and requirements, as described below.

1 2

Notification of events

Any individual or organisation wishing to organise a parade, non-parade-related protest, or select commemoration, as explained below, shall be required to notify the Office no less than twenty-five working days before the planned event, with the exceptions noted below. Working days means all days except Saturdays, Sundays, and public or bank holidays. Select commemorations are events:

• In which the participants are pedestrians, whether moving or stationary;

 • That are intended to commemorate or mark in any way any event that has occurred in Northern Ireland, or that occurred in another jurisdiction but holds a clear connection with events in Northern Ireland, over the last half-century;

 That hold the potential to occasion a disruption to normal public services, including vehicle traffic, public safety services, or public access to any location.

We agree that the legislation giving effect to these arrangements shall list events exempted from these notification requirements, including but not limited to charitable and religious events with a small number of attendees.

To best uphold the fundamental rights to freedom of expression, assembly, and association, the notification process shall be as convenient as possible, providing organisers the opportunity to submit notifications online, by registered mail, or in person directly to the Office. Notifications shall provide the information required by the Office in the procedures it establishes, including, at a minimum, the full name and contact information, including a telephone number, of an individual notifying the event, in addition to basic information about its desired timing and location, estimated size, and purpose. All notifications shall include the signature of the person notifying the event or an electronic confirmation of his or her identity. This person shall be considered as a point of contact. Notification forms shall also provide a space for organisers to indicate any dialogue previously completed or ongoing with members of the community affected by the event, which we wholeheartedly encourage. The forms shall further include an opportunity for organisers to apply to display flags in public space in connection with a notified event, as explained below.

The Office shall require that all organisations wishing to be involved in parades, protests, and select commemorations, including such organisations as marching orders, residents' groups, and bands, register with the Office. This process shall

require basic information about the organisation's location, leadership, contact persons, and purpose and shall occur through a process that is as convenient as possible, providing the opportunity to register online, by registered mail, or in person directly to the Office. Organisations notifying events for the first time may register as part of a notification. Organisations previously registered may simply cite their registration number on the notification for any event in which they intend to participate. Notifications shall include the registration information or numbers of all organisations intending to participate in the notified event. Registration shall not subject organisations to any other requirement, audit, or further procedure.

The Office shall accept all notifications that include all the information required by legislation or by the Office itself and that are made within the defined time period, and shall confirm its acceptance by the fastest available means to organisers. It shall return all notifications that provide insufficient information to organisers by the fastest available means in order to allow them a prompt opportunity to complete their notification. The Office shall forward to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI) the intended timing, location, size, purpose, and contact person for all notified events.

Under unusual circumstances, principally in respect of events related to unforeseeable developments, the Office shall accept notifications less than twenty-five working days before the planned event. Such notifications shall include an explanation of the exceptional circumstances that the organiser believes justify the late notification. The Office, upon verifying the completeness of the notification, shall forward it no later than one working day after receipt to the Authority for Public Events Adjudication ('the Authority'), an adjudication body for parades, protests, and select commemorations described in detail below. The Authority shall consider such notifications in the manner described below.

No parade, protest, or select commemoration without an accepted notification shall be allowed to take place. The legislation establishing these new arrangements shall specify that the Police Service of Northern Ireland shall have full authority to enforce this provision.

Community dialogue and mediation

No later than two working days after the receipt of a timely notification, the Office shall publish the name (though not the contact information), via its website and appropriate newspapers, of the event organiser and the organisation holding it, as well as the date, time, location, purpose, and other basic information about the notified event. Starting on the day this information is published, there shall be a period of ten working days during which anyone may comment, via the Office's website, in

support of or in opposition to any element of the notified event. During this same period, anyone may notify a protest against the planned event.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

1

Principally but not exclusively in respect of parades, we firmly believe that dialogue between those wishing to parade and those who live, work, trade, or conduct other normal activities in the area traversed by a parade is essential. We call on all parties involved in related disputes to engage in dialogue in good faith and with respect for the rights and responsibilities of all sides. Although there are provisions in these arrangements for dialogue in the days following a notification, we believe that dialogue among members of the community should be an ongoing part of everyday life in Northern Ireland. This can produce the salutary outcome of resolving any disputes related to parades and other events before they are notified, enabling them to proceed.

13 14 15

16

17

18 19 We further agree that while the right and ability to object to or protest against an event should be protected, not all objections should be presumed to be equally valid, depending on such factors as the actual harm to be suffered by the objector, the degree to which the objection represents views widespread among those who stand to be directly affected, and the potential ramifications of heeding the objections and restricting the event.

202122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

During the ten-working-day period following the Office's publication of an event, we expect that organisers of parades or select commemoration, organisers of any protests, and those who express opposition to a notified event will engage in dialogue with each other in good faith, whether directly or through intermediaries, to resolve any differences over the conduct of the event and allow it to move forward with the agreement of the local community. We recognise that this already occurs in the vast majority of cases and note models of good practice that have been instituted in communities throughout Northern Ireland. Recognising the diverse array of community leaders that exist, the Office will not proactively play a direct role in community dialogue during this period. However, upon the request of one or more parties to a dispute, and starting at the earliest stage of the process, the Office may facilitate such dialogue as it considers appropriate, including by contacting relevant individuals and organisations, arranging meetings, and providing a venue for meetings. The Office shall also forward objections filed against an event to the person who notified the event, without including the name or contact information of the person objecting. This will enable organisers to consider making adjustments that address community concerns and, potentially, avoid the need for adjudication.

38 39 40

41

42

We recognise that formal mediation can help resolve disputes unable to be resolved through community dialogue. Upon the request of one or more parties, the Office shall refer parties to institutions offering the services of qualified mediators. The

Office shall maintain relationships with non-governmental organizations, such as academic and community institutions, that employ such mediators. It shall offer parties to parade- and commemoration-related disputes the opportunity to contact any such organizations as they wish in order to identify mediators who can help with resolution of these disputes. The Office shall compensate mediators, via the organisations that employ them, for the time they dedicate to cases referred by the Office. Mediators who take such cases shall agree to report the outcome of the mediation to the Office or the Authority as described below.

Moreover, we recognise that a robust and dedicated capacity for mediation and dialogue is essential for Northern Ireland, especially in view of the divisions that continue to affect our society. Accordingly, we will advance legislation in the Northern Ireland Assembly to allocate funding on an ongoing basis for universities, non-governmental organisations, and other institutions working to develop and deploy such capacity. We firmly believe this can assist our communities in overcoming mistrust and working together to manage—and ideally prevent—any differences they may have related to events and to other issues that arise.

If at any time during the ten-working-day period an agreement emerges through community dialogue or mediation on the conduct of the notified parade, select commemoration, and/or protest(s), the parties or the mediator, if used, shall so inform the Office in a report stating the precise agreed terms. The Office shall immediately transmit this information to the Authority, which shall then publish the terms in a determination in order to make them legally binding and enforceable by the Police Service of Northern Ireland. The Authority shall have no authority to alter the terms agreed in the dialogue or mediation. The event(s) shall then take place as agreed. Finally, in cases where no objections are raised to a notified event during the specified period, the Office shall transmit the notification to the Authority, which will publish it in a determination in order to make it legally binding and enforceable by the Police Service of Northern Ireland.

The director of the Office, in referring a case to the Authority for adjudication as described below, shall note in his or her referral whether an individual or organisation declined to participate in community dialogue when, in the director's judgment, a need for dialogue exists and one or more interlocutors are available that possess appropriate standing and express views widespread among those who stand to be directly affected. Mediators shall note the same in reports they submit to the Authority according to the procedure described below.

We recognise that because of personal circumstances or for other reasons, event organisers may not feel comfortable speaking directly with individuals protesting against or objecting to those events, or vice versa. Such situations can be addressed by

conducting dialogue via other individuals from the same organisation, intermediaries, or mediators. The director of the Office, or the mediator if one is used, shall note any such personal objections in his or her report referring the event to the Authority. Such objections, and any explanations offered for them, shall be one factor but not the determining factor in the Authority's adjudications, as described below.

1 2

The Authority for Public Events Adjudication

We recognise that, although the vast majority of events occur peacefully, with disputes resolved through community dialogue and mediation, an adjudication authority is necessary in order to make decisions in cases where disputes remain.

Therefore, another new institution to be established via legislation in the Northern Ireland Assembly is the Authority for Public Events Adjudication ('the Authority'). The Authority shall be an independent regulatory body sponsored by the Department of Justice of Northern Ireland. It shall adopt a human rights-based approach compliant with the European Convention on Human Rights and founded on the presumption that the freedoms of expression, assembly, and association should be protected unless there is a clear and legitimate need to restrict them. The Authority shall conduct its work in a fair, equitable, consistent, and transparent manner. Its operations, resources, personnel, information networks, records, and premises shall be strictly separate from those of the Political and Cultural Expression Facilitation Office.

The Authority shall consist of seven members including a chair. The chair shall be a legally qualified individual of senior standing appointed by the Northern Ireland Judicial Appointments Commission (NIJAC). The other six members shall be broadly representative of the community in Northern Ireland and shall possess a varied set of skills and backgrounds. They shall be appointed by the Justice Minister in a public appointments process consistent with the Code of Practice of the Commissioner for Public Appointments in Northern Ireland. No elected representatives shall be appointed to the Authority.

The chair and members shall be appointed on a rolling basis as follows. In constituting the Authority for the first time, NIJAC shall appoint a chair for a three-year term. The Justice Minister shall simultaneously appoint two members for a threeyear term, two members for a four-year term, and two members for a five-year term. Thereafter, when a term expires, NIJAC and/or the Justice Minister shall appoint a new chair and/or members as required for a three-year term. Any members who leave the Authority through death or resignation shall be replaced through an immediate appointment by NIJAC (in the case of the chair) or the Justice Minister (in the case of a member) that shall last until the expiration of the term of the member who died or

resigned. The chair and members of the Authority may be reappointed once for three years.

Outside the appointment of members of the Authority, neither NIJAC nor the Justice Minister shall have any role in the operations of the Authority.

Receipt of a case by the Authority

 As described above, in cases where community dialogue and/or mediation do not produce agreement on a parade, select commemoration, and/or protest(s), the director of the Political and Cultural Expression Facilitation Office or a mediator, if used, shall refer the case to the Authority. This shall occur no more than one working day after the end of the ten-working-day period for community dialogue and mediation.

No later than one working day after receiving the referral, the Authority shall take a decision as to whether the case requires adjudication. If the Authority considers that despite expressed differences the event(s) should take place as notified, for example because it considers that any objections are being advanced by individuals or organisations without legitimate standing or that they do not express views representing a substantial portion of those who stand to be directly affected, the Office shall issue a summary determination that includes the notified terms, giving them the force of law. The Office shall then take no further action and the event shall proceed as notified. However, if the Authority considers that remaining objections are substantive, are being advanced by individuals or organisations with legitimate standing, or express views widespread among those who stand to be directly affected, the case will proceed to adjudication.

When the Authority receives a notification that was submitted to the Office fewer than twenty-five working days before the planned event, it shall immediately consider the circumstances and any explanation offered for the late notification. Should the Authority judge that the circumstances and explanation do not justify the late notification, it shall issue a summary ruling stating that the event shall not proceed. Should the Authority judge that the late notification is justified, it shall adjudicate the event using the procedure described below, with allowance for any adjustment in the timeframe necessitated by the late notification.

Adjudication

 Immediately upon deciding that a case requires adjudication, the Authority shall publish a statement via its website and appropriate newspapers to this effect. The statement shall invite the organisers of the parade or select commemoration and any protests, others who support or object to the event, the PSNI, and members of the

public the opportunity to provide written or oral representations concerning the conduct of the event(s). Such representations shall be due no more than three working days following the publication of the statement. The Authority will then have three working days to deliberate and issue its determination. Members shall make all determinations by majority vote.

5 6 7

1 2

3

4

The Authority shall use the following criteria in its determinations:

8

• The historical nature, if any, of the notified event(s) and the route used;

9

• The current characteristics of the areas surrounding the route;

10 11

• Any economic benefits to be engendered by the event(s), such as increased trade or tourism;

12 13 • Potential disruption caused by the event(s) to normal community life, for example, freedom of movement, commerce, access to public services and facilities, and access to places of worship;

14 15 16

• The potential impact on community relations or public order of holding the event(s);

17 18 • Equally, the potential impact on community relations or public order of restricting the event(s);

19 20 21 The participation or non-participation of any parties in dialogue or mediation and any explanations offered for non-participation, as outlined above;
The past adherence or non-adherence to adjudications and relevant laws and

222324

codes of conduct of the event organiser; of the leaders of any entity that, in the Authority's judgment, is playing a substantial role in the organisation of the event; any other individuals that, in the Authority's judgment, are playing such a role, whether or not they are part of an entity named in the notification; and any bands or other participating groups that have been notified as part of the

2627

28

25

event;
Equally, the trajectory of such adherence or non-adherence and related behaviour, including any improvements in such adherence over time;

2930

• The cost and any other impact of the event(s) on the Police Service of Northern Ireland and other public agencies responsible for providing relevant services; and

313233

• Any other criteria specified in the legislation establishing these arrangements.

343536

37

38

In its determinations, the Authority shall have the power to require any alteration to the conduct of a parade, select commemoration, or protest, including its timing; route; size (in terms of the number of participants); and conduct during its route, such as the use of flags and other emblems. The Authority shall also have the power to require any alteration or stipulations regarding the conduct of participating bands.

In all determinations, the Authority shall have full regard to the European Convention on Human Rights and shall operate on the principle that the freedoms of expression, assembly, and association should be infringed only for clear and legitimate reasons.

The Authority shall immediately publish all determinations. It is imperative that these determinations give full and transparent explanations for the Authority's decision, including specific justifications, citing relevant legislation and jurisprudence where applicable, for any restrictions placed on an event. Determinations shall be written in a clear and comprehensible manner.

The Authority shall, in its determinations, also have the power to order that a specific event not proceed. The use of this power should be considered only on an exceptional basis when, in the Authority's judgment, no other decision can adequately safeguard community relations and public order. Any determination to prohibit an event shall contain specific justification of a standard commensurate with the profound nature of such a decision.

17 Reviews

The organiser of an event on which an Authority determination places conditions shall have the right to two mechanisms of recourse. First, should new evidence emerge, an organiser may request a review from the Authority. New evidence presented must, in the Authority's judgment, be both significant and directly relevant to the factors cited in the Authority's determination. No grounds other than such evidence may serve as the basis of a review.

Organisers may lodge applications for review anytime after the determination but a minimum of two working days before the event. Applications shall include a representation presenting the new evidence that the organiser wishes the Authority to consider. In considering applications for review, the Authority may find that the evidence raised is not new and/or not sufficiently relevant or significant, thereby upholding its original determination. Should it find that the evidence meets these standards, it may still uphold its original determination or alter it in any manner consistent with the criteria and considerations described above.

Second, anyone may apply under existing procedures for judicial review in respect of a determination. Legal aid should be accessible as available and required in such situations.

39 A code of conduct for parades, select commemorations, and protests

We agree that a code of conduct applying to participants in and supporters of all parades, select commemorations, and protests is needed in order to maintain a

respectful environment for all people in Northern Ireland and uphold the rule of law.
We agree that a new code of conduct must be included in the legislation establishing these arrangements in order to:

- Give it the full force of law;
- Ensure that it applies to all notified events, whether or not they are subject to adjudication; and
- Allow for its enforcement by the Police Service of Northern Ireland.

7 8 9

4

5

6

The code shall apply individually to anyone involved in a parade, select commemoration, or protest, whether as a participant, band member, or supporter. Anyone who violates any other law in the context of participating in a notified event shall continue to be liable for such violations.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

25

26

27

28

10

11

The legislation shall spell out a code of conduct and should consider including but not be limited to:

- Respectful behaviour by everyone involved in parades, select commemorations, and protests, with particular sensitivity at such locations as interface areas, places of worship, war memorials, and cemeteries;
- A total rejection of the use of any symbols, imagery, or music referring to proscribed organisations or to violence;
- A total rejection of slogans, emblems, dress, and other symbols with a known propensity to cause offence;
- The avoidance by bands of songs with a known propensity to cause offence, and particular sensitivity when passing near places of worship and interface areas;
- An insistence that all leaders of and participants in events scrupulously adhere to the Authority's adjudications, as well as relevant laws; and
- An explicit notice that all provisions are legally binding, not advisory.

Flags and Emblems

Introduction

 Flags are recognised around the world as powerful symbols of sovereignty and identity. When flown with respect for both the flags themselves and those who view them, flags have an appropriate and recognised role in defining official status, expressing affinity, and inspiring loyalty and goodwill, whether they represent a country, a community organisation, an sporting team, or myriad other entities. However, flags can also be displayed in an assertive or disrespectful manner in order to demarcate territory and intimidate individuals or communities. Such displays are divisive, reinforce sectarianism, and disrupt the effort to build shared space and a prosperous future.

 In Northern Ireland, the public use of flags must be seen in the context of the 1998 Belfast/Good Friday Agreement, which acknowledged 'our continuing, and equally legitimate, political aspirations'—that is, to keep Northern Ireland as part of the United Kingdom or to join with the Republic of Ireland. The agreement recognised 'the birthright of all the people of Northern Ireland to identify themselves and be accepted as Irish or British, or both, as they may so choose.'

We consider the display of flags, whether sovereign flags or otherwise, to be part of the fundamental right to freedom of expression, as enshrined in Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights. However, we note that Article 10 of the Convention specifies that 'the exercise' of the freedom of expression 'carries with it duties and responsibilities.' Accordingly, we believe that while the right to display flags must be preserved, we must all act with sensitivity in exercising this right. In this vein, we note the commitment in the Belfast/Good Friday Agreement that

the power of the sovereign government with jurisdiction there [in Northern Ireland] shall be exercised with rigorous impartiality on behalf of all the people in the diversity of their identities and traditions and shall be founded on the principles of full respect for, and equality of, civil, political, social and cultural rights, of freedom from discrimination for all citizens, and of parity of esteem and of just and equal treatment for the identity, ethos, and aspirations of both communities.

We agree that all policies and practices regarding flags must reflect this spirit and honour the diversity and legitimacy of the identities and aspirations held by the people of Northern Ireland.

Flags, sovereignty, and identity

Flags are among the most vexing issues in Northern Ireland today. Because of their symbolic potency, they inspire heated disagreement. And because of the stark dualism of the issue in today's environment—a flag either flies at a given place and time or it does not—the policy options are limited.

Too often, flags have dominated political debates in Northern Ireland. Controversy over flags reflects deeper divisions, but it can also be a cause. Although the Belfast City Council's decision of 3 December 2012 to fly the Union Flag on designated days instead of on 365 days per year did not start this controversy, events following the decision illustrated the division, disruption, and uncertainty that decisions surrounding flags can inspire.

We agree that the Union Flag is the sovereign flag of Northern Ireland so long as Northern Ireland remains part of the United Kingdom. We recognise that for many of Northern Ireland's people, however, the sovereign flag of the Republic of Ireland is a powerful symbol of their Irish national identity. Indeed, it is critical to underscore the difference between sovereignty and national identity. Sovereignty is a political status; national identity is an allegiance. The two concepts need not coincide for any individual in a given territory. Northern Ireland has two principal national identities, British and Irish, but only the United Kingdom is presently sovereign over it.

The two sovereign flags are not the sum of the issue. We note the strong interest among the people of Northern Ireland, which emerged in the course of public engagement conducted by the Panel of Parties, in a new official flag for Northern Ireland. We believe such a flag offers an opportunity to create an emblem honouring the shared history of the people of Northern Ireland and Northern Ireland's unique position in these islands. We believe, however, that without a resolution of other flags-related issues, the time to carry forward work on a new Northern Ireland flag has not yet arrived. We pledge to devote continued attention to this issue with a view toward launching a public consultation process for a potential new Northern Ireland flag in the coming years. We emphasise that any such flag adopted shall be a supplement, not a replacement, for the Union Flag so long as it remains the sovereign flag of Northern Ireland.

We note as well the questions surrounding the flying of flags at local council buildings, in the context of both the Belfast City Council decision of 3 December 2012 and the pending consolidation of councils from twenty-six to eleven. The local councils of Northern Ireland represent and will continue to represent the citizens of Northern Ireland in the diversity of their national and cultural identities. They are bound by Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998 to 'have regard to the

desirability of promoting good relations between persons of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group,' including members of the public overall and individuals who work and access public services at sites and facilities controlled by councils. We recognise that there are, and will continue to be, different attitudes toward the flying flags at local council buildings.

5 6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

1 2

3

4

In this context, we have concluded that we cannot agree a comprehensive set of policies on this issue at this time. However, we acknowledge that the use of symbols and emblems on public buildings and within public space can be controversial, and we are committed to ensuring that they are displayed in a manner consistent with mutual respect, the rule of law, and a shared society. Any democracy must balance the wishes of the majority against the interests of the minority and ensure that majority rule, the basic principle underpinning democracy, does not become majoritarianism. This is especially true in Northern Ireland given the divisions affecting our society and the different cultural identities and political aspirations that our people hold.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

3334

We also agree that, in the spirit of parity of esteem, Northern Ireland's devolved government and local councils should work intensively to create environments representative of all the cultural identities present in Northern Ireland today. Irish culture should be reflected in its full richness and diversity and need not be seen as exclusive to the nationalist community. We also recognise our growing diversity and the variety of cultures beyond British and Irish that Northern Ireland's people hold. To this end, we agree that all local councils and government departments should take up as a point of business an appraisal of the symbols, memorabilia, and other items displayed on their premises and incorporate such items as may be appropriate to create a representative environment. They should also take measures to facilitate the use of the Irish language by those of their constituents who wish to use it and support cultural, sporting, and other events that reflect the full range of identities and backgrounds present in Northern Ireland today. Finally, we agree that the mandate of the new Equality and Good Relations Commission should go beyond ensuring compliance with laws and regulations to advancing the understanding and positive expression of Northern Ireland's diverse cultures and traditions. This work comes in the spirit of equality, parity of esteem, and mutual respect advanced in the Belfast/Good Friday Agreement and the St. Andrews Agreement.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

We acknowledge as well the challenging issue of flags flown in public space. We note the use of flags and emblems by citizens across Northern Ireland to celebrate their identity and culture and to mark historical, civic, or other occasions. Nonetheless, we recognise that flags flown unofficially on public property can be used to demarcate territory or intimidate individuals. Moreover, flags are sometimes

left to deteriorate in the environment, a practice markedly at odds with the respect with which these important symbols should be treated.

Despite the difficult context surrounding flag policies, we agree on two areas of work to be taken forward as described below.

Rationalisation of designated flag days and buildings

We believe it is desirable, in the interest of clarity and consistency, for all official buildings and facilities across Northern Ireland that fly the Union Flag on designated days, whether they operate under devolved authority or the direct authority of the government of the United Kingdom, to use a single list of days. We therefore agree to request the Secretary of State for Northern Ireland to amend the Flags Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2000, following the procedure established in the Flags (Northern Ireland) Order 2000, by changing the list of designated flag days given in Part II of the Schedule to the list issued each year by the United Kingdom Department for Culture, Media & Sport. This will ensure that designated days used at government buildings in Northern Ireland will consistently match designated days used across the United Kingdom. We agree to request that the amendment direct the Department for Culture, Media & Sport to solicit regular input from the Northern Ireland Executive on the list of designated flag days as it applies to Northern Ireland. We further agree that in respect of Parliament Buildings, the Northern Ireland Assembly Commission

We also agree to request that the amendment remove the list of buildings given in Part I of the Schedule and specify instead that the regulations shall apply in the same manner to all buildings serving at a given time as principal headquarters of a Northern Ireland government department, defined as the building where the department's minister or ministers have their usual office.

shall continue to follow the Flags Regulations once this amendment is enacted.

We will work to ensure that such an amendment by the Secretary of State is given effect under the procedure established in the Flags (Northern Ireland) Order 2000, including by supporting the proposed change in the Northern Ireland Assembly and supporting a resolution to approve the change in the Houses of Parliament, as appropriate according to each party's representation and participation in these bodies.

Flags and emblems displayed unofficially in public spaces

We recognise that many citizens across Northern Ireland wish to display flags and emblems in public spaces. Many such displays are well-intentioned and celebratory. Others, however, aim to demarcate territory and intimidate. It is not only individuals from a different background than that associated with the flag or emblem who may

DRAFT 19 DECEMBER 2013

feel intimidated, but individuals from the same background as well. We believe a framework for dealing with this issue is central to the delivery of the principle of public space as shared space, as expressed in the 'Together: Building a United Community' strategy. It is essential to allow the reasonable display of flags and emblems while discouraging and delegitimising intimidating and disrespectful displays.

1 2

To advance this aim, we agree to establish a system for the licensing of flag and emblem displays in public space. Individuals or organisations wishing to erect such displays shall apply to the Political and Cultural Expression Facilitation Office described above no less than five working days before the desired start of the display. To make such applications as convenient as possible, they may be lodged separately or, if flags are to be displayed in connection with a notified event, as part of the notification for this event. Applications for flag or emblem displays shall be required to list the flag or flags to be displayed, the number to be displayed, the exact location, the display's duration, and any other matters that may be required by the legislation. The Office shall be permitted to work with the Roads Service, the Housing Executive, the PSNI, and other appropriate agencies to devise a list of requirements for applications that will prevent displays from interfering with road safety, the maintenance of public buildings and rights of way, and essential public services.

The Office shall grant a permit to all applicants who meet the requirements to erect the proposed display for up to fourteen calendar days. No individual or organisation shall be permitted to apply for any display for a period of time that covers any part of the fourteen calendar days following the expiration of the individual or organisation's last display, whether or not the proposed content and location are the same. All permits shall specify the exact nature and location of the display permitted. They shall also specify that the applicant shall remove all elements of the flag or emblem display, including any materials used to erect it or secure it to any structure, on the last day of the permitted period. Permits shall have the force of law. Appropriate agencies, with the support of the PSNI as required, shall remove all displays not removed by the day following the last day of the permitted period. They shall also remove all displays erected in any public space or on any public structure that do not meet the requirements established or for which no application was filed.

We agree to advance legislation in the Northern Ireland Assembly to give effect to these provisions. The legislation shall specifically grant the power to enforce these provisions to the PSNI, which shall do so in cooperation with appropriate agencies. It shall also state unequivocally that any flag representing or referring to paramilitary organisations or to violence shall not be allowed.

Contending with the Past

Introduction

Northern Ireland is blessed by many advantages and virtues. We have a hard working and resilient population, world-class educational institutions, and a growing economy on the edge of Europe. Despite these attributes, Northern Ireland has been unable to fulfil the potential of its people and its position. Many hoped that the Belfast/Good Friday Agreement would usher in a period of prosperity, well-being, and dynamism that would characterise a new era. The agreement of fifteen years ago, in concert with the Weston Park, St. Andrew's, and Hillsborough Agreements, helped lift our society out of the darkness of violence, creating new modes of political action and ushering in a power-sharing government. But the benefits of peace have not been fully realised.

Despite the desire of most citizens to look ahead and move forward, Northern Ireland remains constrained by its past. The various agreements, in taking on the huge and important work of building new political institutions, did not give society the tools or venues to fully grapple with the pain and anger that are inevitably the legacy of generations of violence. The paths made available over the ensuing years have not proven equal to that demanding task. As a result, the past continues to permeate our government, institutions, and people. It creates mistrust among leaders at all levels of society who should otherwise be tackling problems of the modern world. It maintains the gulf between neighbours who pass each other in the street or in the shops. Without facing this issue, Northern Ireland and its people cannot expect to achieve the future its people desire and deserve.

This challenge, however daunting, is not unique to Northern Ireland. Other countries and regions that have emerged from conflict and violence have also had to tackle the hard work of contending with the legacy of the past. Each has adopted methods and mechanisms suited and moulded to the particular experience, nature, and needs of that society. There is no guidebook for how a people can address the elements of its past, for each history is as distinctive as it is painful. The efforts of Northern Ireland to tend to its past may be informed by what others have done, but we must find our own way and our own means to this higher ground.

The time to rise to the challenge is now. Northern Ireland does not have the luxury of putting off this difficult, but potentially transformative, task any longer. Should we not seize this opportunity, it will not return for subsequent generations to reap its rewards. Many of those with experiences and knowledge critical to what took place have already died and, with them, the ability to unearth many facts and emotions necessary to better come to terms with the past. The passage of time will only further

erode our ability to do so. Individuals and civil society have done much groundwork, but the moment to make these efforts broader and more systematic has come.

We have therefore committed ourselves to the important work of contending with the past, knowing that doing so will mean different things for different people. While this effort will be welcome by many, we understand that some will choose to contend with the past in their own ways and at their own times. We have sought to construct an architecture that honours those choices and provides many avenues to the destination of a truly more harmonious society, one that does not forget the past but is not hampered by it as we are today.

Support for Victims and Survivors

Victims and survivors of the conflict must necessarily command a prominent place in matters related to the past. The burden of their pain and loss has been exceptional and for the most part has been borne with remarkable dignity, patience, and grace. In many cases, victims have become prominent voices in the effort to heal divisions across communities—an extraordinary example of leadership from which all in Northern Ireland could learn. We have been privileged to draw on their experiences, views, and goals. Their voices in this area deserve special consideration and we have endeavoured to honour that wherever it was in our power to do so.

Often, victims and survivors continue to suffer from physical disabilities, emotional trauma, social anxiety, and other concerns stemming from the conflict that merit support and assistance wherever possible. Meeting these needs with professionalism, sensitivity, empathy, and care is the work of the whole of society, including the government of the United Kingdom, the devolved government of Northern Ireland, local authorities, and the non-governmental sector.

Two tasks are essential to this effort. The first is to ensure, within the limits of the society's resources, that a range of high-quality services exists for those who need them. The second is to ensure that those individuals have a full understanding of the services available and can pursue those for which they are eligible. Should an individual wish, a dedicated advocate-counsellor will be made available to work in the individual's interest to provide support and help him or her understand and request relevant services.

The principle of choice must remain central to the provision of services for victims and survivors of the conflict. So must the principle of need. Victims and survivors encounter a wide range of circumstances and bear multiple burdens. As a result of this, individuals must retain the choice of whether to seek any services at all, since, as the 2009 Strategy for Victims and Survivors recognized, some individuals "do not

feel that they require additional support." It is a normal part of such a process that individuals may be required to show their need for a service. However, needs should be evaluated sensitively and with the minimum possible burden on those seeking services.

4 5 6

8

9 10

11

12

1 2

3

We believe it must be as easy as possible for victims and survivors to access the physical, psychological, emotional, financial, or other support for which they are eligible. A central facility open to all those with needs stemming from the conflict can be helpful in this regard. The Victims & Survivors Service (VSS), established in 2012, should be supported in its continuing efforts to provide assistance to those affected by the conflict. We note the many reports that have emerged about the ways in which some individuals approaching VSS were treated. These reports include burdensome documentation requirements, delays, and insufficient responsiveness.

13 14 15

16

17

18 19 In this vein, we also note the ongoing independent assessment of VSS being conducted by Kathryn Stone, the commissioner of the Commission for Victims and Survivors. We encourage the commissioner, as part of this assessment, to propose specific guidelines and best practices for the provision of services to victims and survivors, whether via VSS, appropriate non-governmental organisations, or any other institution.

20 21

22 We particularly encourage the assessment to consider two areas of critical concern to 23 victims, survivors, their families, and wider society. The first is the provision of easy 24 to access, high quality mental health care. Northern Ireland has one of the highest 25 rates of post-traumatic stress disorder in the world; according to 2011 World Mental 26 Health Survey, more people were affected by the disorder in this country than in Israel, Lebanon, and other countries seriously affected by conflict. The financial cost 27 28 is considerable – some £175 million per year – but the cost in human suffering, lost 29 potential, and strain on families is incalculable. We encourage the VSS, as part of its 30 assessment, to consider establishing or funding a comprehensive Mental Trauma 31 Centre to serve the substantial unmet need of victims in this area. We further ask the 32 Victims Commissioner, as part of her assessment of VSS, to give special consideration to those who lost years of their working life to severe physical or 33 34 mental injury as a result of the conflict, as has been done in similar situations in other 35 countries. In addition, as these victims age, their need for medical, emotional, 36 financial, and other support may rise, and we believe it is crucial that the assessment 37 take particular note of their circumstances and financial requirements.

38 39

40

41

42

Following the completion of Commissioner Stone's assessment, we agree to consider, in the Northern Ireland Executive, the Northern Ireland Assembly, or other appropriate venues, the steps to be taken, whether through legislation, regulation, or other means, to facilitate and implement those recommendations that are agreed to.

1	
2	

Acknowledging past acts

What happened in the past cannot be changed. The basic facts and events of the conflict, in all their terrible detail, now simply are. We cannot yet agree on the causes of the conflict or on a mutual understanding of those events. We can, however, all agree that the past has consequences for individual victims and society that continue through the present. We must meet the challenges posed by the past and work to ensure that they do not overwhelm the present and undermine the future. Our disagreements about the meanings of past events should not stand in the way of individuals and groups acknowledging those events, their role in them, and the fact that they had a profound impact on society.

 Sincere acknowledgements are a foundational step in the effort to contend with Northern Ireland's past. They constitute powerful gestures by individuals involved in the conflict and send an important message to other such individuals, to the people of Northern Ireland, and to the world that those responsible for causing pain are prepared to accept their responsibility. Such gestures, if multiplied and sustained, are apt to lighten the shadow that Northern Ireland's past casts on its present and unlock significant potential for progress toward a shared and peaceful future.

People across Northern Ireland, the rest of the United Kingdom, the Republic of Ireland, and beyond experienced pain and loss during the conflict. For the vast majority of these people, there has been little in the way of closure or comfort; more than 3,000 conflict-related deaths were never solved, and many relatives have lived for decades without a responsible party acknowledging their pain and loss. There is a profound desire among many victims, survivors, and their families for such acknowledgments.

The local nature of much of the violence during the conflict means that many people know, or believe they know, who personally is responsible for the events that affected them. More often, they feel confident they can attribute an act to a particular organisation, whether non-governmental or governmental. The fact that these individuals, organisations, and governments have not publicly taken responsibility for their actions is deeply frustrating not just to those directly affected but also to many in the wider society. The mistrust and resentment bred by this ongoing silence should not be underestimated. It undermines trust in society, faith in leaders, and hope for a more peaceful future.

There have been some individuals in Northern Ireland, the rest of the United Kingdom, and the Republic of Ireland who have taken steps toward acknowledging their role in the conflict. In some cases, their statements and actions have provided a

measure of comfort and satisfaction to those directly affected by the conflict and contributed broadly towards reconciliation across wider society. Other statements have been perceived as less constructive, and have even had a negative impact on cross-community relations.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

1

2

Now is a time for all citizens of Northern Ireland, and the governments of the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland, to reflect on the previous decades. This is not to suggest that blame for the violence is equally shared across society. It is not. A minority sought to advance agendas through means outside the law, while the overwhelming majority adhered to it. The burden of the past rests most heavily on those, whether non-state or state actors, who acted outside the rule of law. However, even the majority of people who assiduously eschewed violence may have contributed to the environment within which it flourished, either through the advancement of policy, acts of commission, or acts of omission. To publicly acknowledge these contributions does not equate them, but all such acknowledgements will help bring about a better climate.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

To advance reconciliation and healing at both the individual and societal levels, acknowledgments should be more than apologies. Saying sorry is necessary but not sufficient. Full acknowledgements need to include an unqualified assumption of responsibility, express an understanding of the consequences of the actions for individuals and society, and include a sincere expression of remorse for pain and injury caused. Statements of regret and reconsideration are also welcome.

232425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

39

We encourage individuals, organisations, and national governments to work together on specific statements of acknowledgment, including by discussing language, timing, and other matters in private before public statements are made, to ensure that such acknowledgements are carried out in ways that contribute positively to healing and reconciliation. We commit to working among ourselves, with organisations in Northern Ireland, and with the governments of the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland to build an environment in which full and constructive acknowledgements. whether individual or corporate, can be expressed and can build on one another. This process should aim to be as inclusive and as complete as possible, involving all those who played roles in the conflict. We hope and anticipate that statements of acknowledgment by leaders will encourage others to make similar statements or gestures of acknowledgment, including participating in the retrieval of truth as outlined below. Indeed, we encourage every individual who was alive during the conflict, including ourselves, to reflect on his or her own actions and to acknowledge, in whatever way they feel appropriate, any hurt they might have intentionally or inadvertently caused.

40 41 42

Justice

1 2

In any society, holding people accountable for breaking the law is a fundamental role of government. Doing so consistently and even-handedly reinforces belief in the integrity of government and reassures citizens that their society is safe, fair, and just. During the conflict in Northern Ireland, members of the police forces, security services, and the criminal justice system worked hard under difficult conditions to uphold the standards of fairness and accountability that citizens of a democracy have a right to expect. However, despite the honest efforts of the majority of those participating in it, some believed that the criminal justice system could and should have done better.

Major efforts have been undertaken since the 1998 Belfast/Good Friday Agreement to reform the criminal justice system in general, including the devolution of justice functions from Westminster and the establishment of the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI). These and other reforms constitute real progress. At the time of the 1998 Agreement, however, there remained thousands of cases relating to the conflict that were either unsolved or resolved by justice mechanisms that did not elicit full faith from all of society. New institutions were therefore created to address these particular incidents in an effort to ease a source of enduring pain among many victims of those acts and their families, and a remaining source of mistrust among the wider public.

Current institutions

The Historical Enquiries Team (HET), an independent unit reporting to the Chief Constable of the PSNI, is tasked specifically with reviewing the files of the more than 3,000 deaths that occurred between 1968 and 1998. Its mission is to uncover, where possible, information requested by the families of the deceased and, for unsolved murders, to determine if any evidence was missed that should be reinvestigated by the police. It has completed around 1,900 reviews to date, with approximately 600 cases, involving some 800 deaths, yet to be reviewed. The Police Ombudsman of Northern Ireland (PONI) serves as an independent and impartial investigator for complaints against the police. It is empowered to investigate "grave and exceptional" historical offenses suspected to have been committed by police officers.

Coroner's inquests work through a public process to ascertain the circumstances of all suspicious deaths. Thirty-six cases are pending relating to the conflict; some of them have never been investigated, while others are new inquests ordered by the Attorney General in light of fresh evidence. Coroner's inquests have been broadened substantially in recent years, especially for inquests involving the state, in response to rulings from the European Court of Human Rights regarding their adherence to Article Two procedural requirements.

A fourth vehicle for addressing historical events, public inquiries, remains a discretionary tool for the governments of the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland.

All four institutions have conducted enormously difficult and politically sensitive investigative work into conflict-related cases, and we commend their dedicated efforts and the information that they have been able to provide to many families. In a few cases, they have been able to uncover fresh evidence, leading to new prosecutions and convictions. In many more they have been able to provide greater insight to, if not firm conclusions on, the events under investigation, bringing comfort to the families involved.

The multiplicity of institutions and vehicles for justice in respect of conflict-related incidents, however, creates confusion and places enormous burdens on the police. The HET, PONI, and inquests also suffer from the perception that they have proceeded too slowly. Because the European Court of Human Rights has established specific requirements for coroner's inquests involving state forces, including public hearings and legal representation for witnesses, these investigations will be need to be maintained. Inquiries will remain the purview of governments. But there is much that we can and should improve regarding the completion of HET and PONI investigations.

Historical Investigations Unit

While it is not necessary or desirable to restart reviews or investigations into all conflict-related events, we believe it is necessary to establish through legislation a single Historical Investigative Unit (HIU) to take forward the remaining caseload of the HET and the conflict-related cases before the PONI. Once the HIU is fully established, the HET and the PONI will transfer all their records, active reviews and investigations, and information relating to investigations not yet begun to the HIU.

The HIU will serve the interests of justice by conducting thorough examinations and, where appropriate based on the evidence, referring cases to the Public Prosecution Service (PPS). By taking on the burden of conducting investigations, a power not given to the HET, it will also alleviate the pressure historical cases place on the PSNI. Finally, as a new institution capable of commanding the confidence of the entire community, it can provide a measure of comfort to the families involved and contribute to the ongoing restoration of public faith in Northern Ireland's justice system—an essential step for securing a shared future.

What the HIU will examine

- Because the HET has generally examined cases chronologically, many families have
- been waiting for years for their case to be addressed. It would be unfair to them, and

would undermine acceptance of the process, to consider a fresh review of a closed case by the HIU before it completes initial reviews of all cases not yet examined. The HIU will therefore combine the outstanding HET and PONI cases into a single list, ordered chronologically, for an initial review. After the remaining un-reviewed cases have been examined, however, families will have the opportunity to request a new review of any previous HET or PONI case by the HIU, which may be granted if flaws are found in the earlier investigation or if new information has come to light.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

1 2

3

4

5 6

> We are aware that there are tens of thousands of people who were injured during the conflict and we are sympathetic to the fact that they have never been eligible to receive a review of the cases that affected them. While the HIU is conducting its reviews and investigations into cases involving deaths, it will do so with a view to producing two types of reports. The first will be a report of the particular circumstances of individual deaths, which will be shared with the PPS if there is sufficient evidence to warrant prosecution or with the family of the deceased if there is not and if the family so chooses. The second will be a more general report that will be given to all of those injured in the same event should they desire it. This will be an important new effort, as it will open up a new avenue of information to those who lacked one in the past. Once all cases involving deaths have had a review and where appropriate an investigation, and if resources permit, the HIU will conduct reviews and investigations into cases involving severe injuries. All reports prepared by the HIU will be carefully prepared to ensure they do not violate Article Two of the European Convention on Human Rights or relevant laws regarding national security or public interest disclosures.

242526

27

28 29

3031

32 33

34

35

36

3738

How it will work

The HIU will begin its work on each case with a review of the case's existing file and any associated intelligence that may be held by the PSNI. Should the HIU identify deficiencies with the original investigation or new evidence that suggests the possibility of a fruitful investigation, we agree that it will have full investigative powers identical to those of the PSNI, including the power to:

- Conduct interviews under caution and, where appropriate, request a subpoena from the court for sworn testimony;
- Request a subpoena for documents from governments, government agencies, organisations, and individuals;
- Access independently and in full the PSNI's intelligence and case files regarding the conflict-related cases under its review; and
- Have independent power to re-test and re-process existing evidence in the cases under its review.

39 40 41

42

These powers will enable it to conduct its investigations and place a lesser burden on the PSNI than the HET does now. This will help ensure the appearance and reality of

its independence, and it will alleviate an enormous investigatory burden on the PSNI, helping them to focus on their primary mission: policing the present. To ensure the overall integrity and quality of the HIU's reviews, it will develop:

- Clear, detailed, and transparent investigative and recordkeeping procedures appropriate to sensitive historical cases, with adequate training and oversight to ensure they are implemented;
- Internal quality assurance mechanisms to ensure that its conclusions have been rigorously tested before information is provided to families and/or cases are closed:
- Internal protections against conflicts of interest among its investigators; and
- A public complaints service through which affected members of the public may raise issues of concern with the body.

Principle of choice

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39 While society has an overarching interest in concluding reviews and investigations into outstanding cases, we recognize that not all victims, their immediate families, or individual family members will wish to participate in the review or investigation of a death or injury. Their involvement will, as in all institutions contending with the past, therefore be guided by the fundamental principle of choice. The HIU will review all cases in the interest of fairness and justice, but individuals will not be notified of its progress unless they opt in to learning about or participating in reviews and investigations, either before or during the work on their case. Those who do choose to learn about the progress of a case will be offered the services of an advocatecounsellor unconnected with any work on their file who can provide logistical guidance and emotional support through each stage of the process. When the HIU's review or investigation has concluded, and if the case is not referred to the PPS for further action, the family will receive a report outlining the extent of information known about the case as it affected them, should they desire it.

When an individual or family chooses not to hear about the progress of a case, HIU will scrupulously adhere to their wishes and will not communicate with them in any way. The sole exception to this fundamental principle of choice and respect will arise in cases that are sent to the PPS for further action. In such cases, HIU will reach out to the individuals or families involved to inform them that prosecutorial action may be taken. This step will ensure that families do not first learn about the progress of their case via the media or other publicity. HIU will further offer the services of an

advocate-counsellor who can provide support and guidance through this process.

Leadership and staffing

- We believe that the HIU, as an investigative body, should be led by a trusted figure 40
- with relevant investigative or legal experience. Given views among some in the 41
- community of police and judicial institutions throughout Northern Ireland's history, it 42

may be that a figure of sufficient seniority and perceived integrity will only be found outside Northern Ireland. This chief executive should be nominated through a public appointment process and selected by the Justice Minister. The quality, independence, and timeliness of its work shall be overseen by the Policing Board.

The HIU review and investigative teams should likewise have relevant investigative experience and expertise. It is important, however, that they also be free from undue influence by officers or others in positions of authority who may have been involved in the cases being reviewed. The guiding purpose of these reviews is to conclude outstanding cases that pose ongoing challenges to confidence in the rule of law and the administration of justice in Northern Ireland. It is vital to a successful outcome that those conducting the reviews have both the expertise and the personal independence to carry out this work in a way that garners public trust.

Outcomes

These steps, taken together, will increase the independence and quality of reviews and investigations and inspire confidence in the resolution of historical cases among families affected and the wider society. A robust HIU also affords the maximum possible opportunity to uncover evidence sufficient for prosecution. Where such evidence is uncovered, the file will be referred to the PPS, which will determine whether to carry the case forward to trial. Where, despite these efforts, there are not grounds for a prosecution, a report on the case will be prepared, which the family members of the victim may review if they so choose.

The passage of time and loss of evidence through decommissioning, decay, and other means will often mean that there is too little admissible evidence for the prosecutor to proceed with a trial. And even where prosecution is undertaken, there is of course no certainty of outcome. The HIU process is intended to provide a meaningful investigation that develops new evidence for prosecution wherever possible but, in all cases, offers a sense of accountability and comfort to the families of victims.

Where families desire another vehicle through which to pursue information about their loved one, whether before, during, or after an HIU review, they will have access to the Independent Commission for Information Retrieval (ICIR), outlined below. HIU staff should make clear to those families who choose to pursue their case through ICIR in addition to HIU, however, that documents and information released through ICIR cannot be used in prosecutions.

Increasing the availability of information

For many of the families whose loved ones were killed in the conflict, and for those who were themselves victims, the need to know more about the circumstances of their

case is profoundly important. This is especially true in those many cases that are unlikely to be resolved through the criminal justice system, where the bar for the introduction of evidence for prosecution is necessarily high. Affording these individuals the opportunity to seek, on a fully voluntary basis, further information could provide, after all these decades, a measure of comfort. And any information supplied by perpetrators during this process could add significant meaning to any acknowledgments provided.

1 2

There are also likely to be some who carried out violent acts who would, under certain circumstances, be willing to provide information about actions they took during the conflict. We emphasize that these circumstances must not include an amnesty. Where sufficient evidence exists, the Public Prosecution Service cannot forfeit its right to choose to prosecute crimes. We are cognizant, however, of precedents that provide limited protection to those willing to share information. The Independent International Commission on Decommissioning and the Independent Commission for the Location of Victims' Remains have both utilized limited protections to elicit a measure of desired information without compromising the right to prosecute. We believe these bodies offer useful lessons for an Independent Commission for Information Retrieval (ICIR).

We recognize that this process is unlikely to achieve a complete and fully accurate account of every incident that occurred during the conflict. Some people with information may have already died, while others may not, irrespective of the protections offered, wish to bring what they know to light. These limitations are unfortunate but inevitable. But we believe that creating opportunities to retrieve as much information as possible is essential to assisting victims and their families, and to contending with the past. Only through gaining the fullest possible picture of what happened during the conflict and why can Northern Ireland begin to constructively confront its past.

To all those who may have relevant information, including individuals, members of proscribed organisations, members of political parties and other non-governmental organisations, and current and former employees of the governments of the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland, we urge you to step forward with whatever information you have that may provide a degree of comfort to all those who lived through the conflict. While the governments of the United Kingdom and the Republic of Ireland have taken important steps already towards increasing the amount of publicly available information, we encourage them to determine what more can be done. We encourage non-governmental participants and entities, in particular paramilitary organisations, to similarly increase access to information in their possession. The future stability and success of Northern Ireland rests on its ability to address the suspicions that remain about the public figures and institutions in

Northern Ireland. Further transparency on the events of the past is critical to that process.

Opting in to ICIR

ICIR will offer two ways of initiating a case. First, victims and the immediate families of victims will be able to register a request for information about any violent incident connected to the conflict. The ICIR will reach out to designated intermediaries that it maintains among organisations and governments, who will seek out individuals within their networks who may have information relevant to the request. If a person is willing to provide information, whether directly or through an intermediary, ICIR staff will ask detailed questions about the events under discussion, cross-referencing answers wherever possible to other sources for validation. After it judges it has learned all it reasonably can, the staff of ICIR will prepare a private report for the victim or victim's family conveying the information it has gleaned regarding that specific case. All reports prepared by ICIR will be carefully prepared to ensure they do not violate Article Two of the European Convention on Human Rights or relevant laws regarding national security or public interest disclosures.

We underscore that interaction with this commission would be fully voluntary and respectful of the wishes of victims and families. They choose whether to seek information through the ICIR. Should they not wish to receive information, ICIR will not contact them. Unless they wished to do so, they would never be asked or expected to meet with any individual who might offer information. Seeking information through ICIR would not preclude an investigation through the Historical Investigations Unit described above, which will proceed with investigations of all cases under its remit in due course.

The second means of initiating a case allows people who may wish to volunteer information about violent acts and secure the limited protections offered by the ICIR to do so, either directly or through an intermediary. We emphasize that ICIR will be available to anyone who may have information about a conflict-related act, including members of paramilitary organisations and current or former employees of governments. In the event that an individual volunteers information independently, ICIR will keep that information in its files unless and until the victim or the victim's family files a request for information. To avoid re-traumatising those who may not wish to receive information, ICIR will not notify those who have not registered a request for information when information relevant to their case is provided.

We are aware that in some cases the immediate family members of deceased victims may not agree on whether or when to participate in this process. In such cases, ICIR staff members would, through careful and respectful dialogue, seek to help families reach a common position. If they are unable to reach agreement, ICIR would pursue

information on behalf of the family members who did wish to receive it, sharing what was learned with them and carefully guarding against releasing unwanted information to the rest of the family.

1 2

Assessments of patterns

We recognise that there is demand for determining whether individual acts were carried out pursuant to standing policies and strategies. In light of the protections and assurances given to those who provide information through ICIR, we expect that it will over time become the largest and most detailed repository of information about the conflict. Its director and staff will be well placed to utilise that repository, in conjunction with other resources. Therefore, an additional part of ICIR's mission should be to assess the policies and strategies, if any, of the participants in the conflict.

After the ICIR has had time to gather a sufficient body of information – we estimate three to five years – its director, supported by the staff at ICIR, will convene an advisory group of eight to ten widely respected experts on the conflict in Northern Ireland to review a summary of what ICIR has compiled and assess what, if any, patterns or themes can be gleaned from the information participants provided. Where such patterns seem evident, ICIR staff will be permitted to seek supplementary documents from and interviews with participants who have not chosen full anonymity; other individuals; organisations; and governments to better understand and contextualise the information from the ICIR records. All of these sources will inform a report by the director and the advisory group outlining their best assessment of the patterns, if any, that are evident in the information ICIR has gathered. Any individual, organisation, or government named in the report will have the right to review and comment on the report prior to its release. Additional reports, using the same methodology, will be published if and as warranted thereafter.

Use (limited) immunity

We recognise that those who have information regarding conflict-related events may have legitimate fears about coming forward. They may fear criminal prosecution after implicating themselves or others in crimes. They may fear retribution from those they implicate. And they may fear, after decades of living quiet lives, their admission could lead their friends and families to dramatically re-evaluate their character.

 Given the importance of their information to the future of Northern Ireland, the body will therefore be empowered by law to offer "use (limited) immunity" in both civil and criminal courts to those providing information in connection with the incidents described. Use immunity protects an individual from self-incrimination. Statements given under conditions of use immunity cannot be used as evidence against the person giving them or anyone named in those statements. Use immunity does not provide

protection against prosecution or the pursuit of civil damages through evidence 2 derived from other sources, or against prosecution for other acts.

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

1

Leniencv

Should a conflict-related case against a particular individual participant in ICIR be successfully prosecuted through the development of other evidence, we believe it is important that what is judged to be honest and forthcoming participation in ICIR be a consideration in their favour during sentencing. Once a case has been decided, but prior to sentencing, the director of public prosecutions will file a formal request with ICIR to determine the degree and quality of cooperation, if any, ICIR has received from the relevant individual. Without releasing or summarizing the content of the information provided, ICIR's director will provide a general description of the quality and forthrightness of that individual's participation to the public prosecutor. If ICIR's director certified that the information was helpful, the judge sentencing the individual would be directed by law to take that certification positively into account when deciding the length of any sentence.

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

Anonymity

As noted above, ICIR will offer the opportunity for individuals to give statements via a designated intermediary, on a purely anonymous basis. It will be necessary for ICIR to know who is supplying information in order to offer use immunity and the potential for leniency in sentencing in any future trial, however, so those individuals would not secure those incentives. Individuals who would prefer to come forward directly, in order to secure use immunity and leniency, could instead opt to have their identities hidden from the public but not from the senior staff of ICIR.

25 26 27

> 28 29

> 30 31

Relationship with criminal justice

Finally, to inspire confidence in the comprehensive nature of ICIR's protections, ICIR will forward, copy, or otherwise share or make available its records or findings to the HIU, the PSNI, or the Public Prosecutions Service only in extremely limited circumstances:

32 33

34

35

- When the PPS requests information regarding cooperation with ICIR that could be applied to leniency in sentencing, as explained above; or
- When ICIR uncovers exculpatory information and secures permission from the individual to whom it applies to share the information with the criminal justice system.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

There will otherwise be a strict separation among the personnel, resources, premises, and records of ICIR, the HIU, and any other criminal justice institution. These and other provisions protecting the identity and details of those who provided information cannot be changed without the permission of the person affected. ICIR will, further, be excluded from Freedom of Information requests by appropriate legislation.

1 2

ICIR will be tasked primarily with conducting interviews with those who offer information; querying and cross-checking information against available records; synthesizing that information into a report for the family that requested it; and, in time, assessing patterns in the policies and strategies of participants in the conflict. Therefore, ICIR staff should have backgrounds that draw on similar analytical skills, including lawyers, historians, and other academics. ICIR should avoid hiring those with previous links to any body that might be giving information to avoid the appearance or fact of conflicts of interest. Its leadership should likewise be free of links to such groups or institutions. It is likely that a figure of sufficient seniority and perceived integrity will be found only outside Northern Ireland. The five executive parties of the Northern Ireland Assembly will unanimously select an international person of high calibre and good standing to lead this body. We further commit to establishing appropriate oversight mechanisms to ensure that ICIR acts with fairness, discretion, and judgment.

 We pledge to do what we can to put in place enabling legislation and other mechanisms for this institution. We further call on the governments in London and Dublin to participate in this effort and to pass similar enabling legislation where necessary.

Sharing experiences

No two people experienced the conflict in the same way. Each individual has a personal perspective on the conflict and its effects, and thus a different understanding of its meaning. The intensity of the conflict and the political fragility of its immediate aftermath accorded little space to address the real consequences of what had happened. People drew on the support of family, friends and faith communities, but until relatively recently there were few opportunities to share their experiences and gain recognition of what happened to them. While some non-governmental and statutory organisations have begun to address this problem, providing a central place to share whatever experiences an individual may wish could provide a powerful catharsis and validation.

 The benefits of sharing experiences or narratives, however, are not limited to individuals. The multiple narratives of those who lived through the conflict have a clear social and historical value. People's narratives—their diaries, journals, travel logs, letters—have been a cornerstone of historical research for centuries. Oral and video histories have, more recently, enriched that source material. Many of the histories of the conflict have not yet been written—it is too recent and often too raw to get enough perspective. Recording and preserving people's experiences—without judgment or prejudice regarding their experiences, political views, or professional or

community affiliations—are necessary steps towards building a significant and comprehensive body of historical source material for future generations. Time is of the essence in this effort. Already, memories are fading and people are passing away. There are no second chances to record their memories. Each day of delay risks further losses at considerable cost to society.

1 2

We therefore call for the establishment of an archive for conflict-related oral histories, documents, and other relevant materials from individuals of all backgrounds, from Northern Ireland and beyond, who wish to share their experiences connected with the conflict. This archive will not seek to interpret people's narratives or attempt to create any single narrative of the past. It will be designed to be an unfiltered collection of individual narratives—a vital primary resource for the future historians, genealogists, and writers who will interpret the myriad histories of Northern Ireland.

 We underscore that the archive's staff will not attempt to validate or corroborate the experiences contributed to the archive. Its contents will therefore have no legal standing or role, with no more validity in civil or criminal proceedings than hearsay. As a further level of protection, legislation will designate entries in the archive as inadmissible in both civil and criminal proceedings, and will protect individuals from libel claims deriving from their submissions to the archive.

 Additionally, in line with the principle of choice in connection with all institutions dealing with the past, individuals will have the right to choose whether to share their experiences and the extent of the experiences they choose to share. They will also have the right, protected by legislation, to designate when and under what circumstances their narratives may be shared publicly. For example, individuals may allow them to be shared immediately, after a given period of time, upon their death, or at a fixed point thereafter. Individuals may also choose to record their experiences utilizing the archive's facilities and expertise but retain the records themselves until their death or any other date they choose.

This archive will offer:

- A website with a structured series of questions to elicit people's narratives and a facility for uploading scans of documents, photographs, and other materials;
- A screening process to ensure that inflammatory, irrelevant, or otherwise inappropriate material is not accepted into the archive;
- Trained facilitators for recording oral histories, conducting interviews should an individual wish, and ensuring that the process is carried out with sensitivity, professionalism, and care, with due concern to trauma and other potential emotional concerns;
- Professional recording equipment and facilities to ensure recordings are of a consistent format and quality, whether audio, video, or both;

- A repository for similar oral history archives already recorded by other institutions, if they choose to share them; and
 - A repository for documents related to the conflict, including personal letters, diaries, and other records.

The archive will be initially constituted online, where scholars and members of the public will be able to access recordings that individuals have chosen to make available. The archive will be overseen by a body of professionals with training in history, library science, information services, and related disciplines. Our goal is for a physical archive of these materials to eventually exist.

Implementation and Reconciliation Group

The provisions regarding the past outlined in this agreement will need to be monitored and likely adjusted and augmented over time. As the needs of victims, survivors, and society change, the institutions created or strengthened here will need to change with them. New institutions or practices may need to be built to meet needs as yet unmet. Others, as society begins to heal its wounds and overcome its mistrust, may need to be wound down. We therefore call for an Implementation and Reconciliation Group (IRG), led by a respected international figure and made up of representatives from victims' groups, other civic society organisations, political bodies, and other interested parties, to fulfill three basic functions.

First and most importantly, the IRG will monitor the implementation and effectiveness of the bodies outlined in this agreement, and issue progress reports and calls for improvements where necessary. Secondly, it will provide a crucial advisory role, bringing together the myriad voices and experiences of its participants to recommend other institutions or initiatives that that could contribute to reconciliation, a better understanding of the past, and a reduction in sectarianism. Finally, the IRG will convene meetings, events, academic efforts, and other initiatives to pursue and promote reconciliation between individuals when they desire it and between and among communities and groups in wider society.